

Ballot by Correspondence RawData  
 Shall the current OP standards numbering system be continued?

<b>Last</b>	<b>First</b>	<b>Vote comment</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Aikens	Dav	yes with comment	my preference would be to add a leading zero to the document number if possible. Thus the standard would be OP1.0110. If this is not possible, so be it.
Boulton	Gordon	I vote YES.	
Czajkowski	Walt	I would like to vote YES	
Dombrowski	Frank	Yes, I agree.	
Evans	Chris	Yes	
Gaugh	Charles	On behalf of Davidson Optronics, I vote yes on the motion.	
Guttman	Jeff	I vote YES.	
Howland	Donna	Yes.	
Johnson	Hal	I vote yes.	
Krisiloff	Allen	Yes	
Martinek	Steve	Yes, with a comment.	I think Dave's suggestion is a good one in that it preserves the "ten-one-ten" manner of verbal description, hopefully without any additional confusion. The official title is a bit cumbersome and I would expect in conversation most people would find "OP ten-one-ten" an efficient and specific reference.
Takacs	Peter	Yes, with a comment.	To extend Dave's comment from his reply, why not make the numbering OP1.10110-1:20XX? THis way, any ISO standard that we adopt will have the original ISO number AFTER the decimal point, as long as we put another number before the decimal. This satisfies all of the restrictions outlined in Gene's comments plus it preserves the original ISO number for search engine use, with or without the (ISO... MOD) addition.
Turner	Trey	I vote YES.	
Williamson	Ray	I vote yes.	

Ballot by Correspondence Results  
 Shall the current OP standards numbering system be continued?

Count - Vote	Vote	
Last	Yes	Yes,with comments
Aikens		1
Boulton	1	
Czajkowski	1	
Dombrowski	1	
Evans	1	
Gaugh	1	
Guttman	1	
Howland	1	
Johnson	1	
Krisloff	1	
Martinek		1
Takacs		1
Turner	1	
Williamson	1	
<b>Total Result</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>